

Introduction

At the annual meeting of the International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA) in 2002, members discussed the need for a list of standard definitions of terms used in health technology assessment (HTA). The purpose was to give the HTA community – both producers and users of assessment information – a common vocabulary for work in this field. Dr Karen Facey kindly volunteered to compile the list, under the direction of Dr Finn Børlum Kristensen and the INAHTA Education and Training Working Group.

Later in 2002 HTA agencies were asked to send in their glossaries for review and compilation. These submissions formed the basis for a draft glossary. In March 2004, the draft was circulated to all INAHTA members and other colleagues with an invitation to review the list and provide suggestions for changes and additional terms. Many further terms and refinements were submitted and subsequently incorporated into the glossary. But a glossary is never really a finished product, and additions and revisions are needed as HTA continues to evolve. The next challenge will be to translate the glossary into other languages and make it accessible to all through the INAHTA web site.

We would like to express our appreciation to Karen Facey for undertaking this work. This first edition of the INAHTA HTA glossary gives us a common reference point for key terms in HTA. Moreover, it will help us to effectively communicate HTA information to others. Any suggestions for additions, changes or deletions to the glossary should be directed to the Chair of the INAHTA Education and Training Working Group.

Don Juzwishin
Chair, Education and Training Working Group
July 5, 2006



Acknowledgements

Many of the definitions in this glossary have been reproduced with permission from Dr. Clifford Goodman's guide *HTA 101: introduction to health care technology assessment*. He also reviewed the draft of this glossary and provided advice. We thank Dr. Goodman for his generous contribution to this glossary.

Other entries in this glossary have been created by selecting terms from existing glossaries and from terms and sources suggested by many individuals working in the field of health technology assessment. Special thanks are due to the many individuals from the following agencies who provided lists of terms and comments on the first draft of this glossary:

- Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality (AHRQ)
- Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research (AHFMR)
- Australian Government. Department of Health & Ageing
- Canadian Coordinating Office for Health Technology Assessment (CCOHTA)
- Centre for Health Economics and Policy Analysis (CHEPA)
- Cochrane Collaboration
- Comité d'Evaluation de Diffusion des Innovations Technologiques (CEDIT)
- Danish Centre for Evaluation & Health Technology Assessment (DACEHTA)
- Innovus Research Inc.
- Institute of Applied Health Sciences (IAHS), University of Aberdeen
- NHS Quality Improvement Scotland (QIS)
- Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care (SBU)
- UK Centre for Reviews & Dissemination (CRD)
- UK National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment (NCCHTA)
- VA Technology Assessment Program (VATAP)



Sources

The sources used to compile this glossary include:

1. *EBM glossary*. Oxford, UK: Bandolier; April 2004. Available: www.jr2.ox.ac.uk/bandolier/glossary.html (accessed 2006 March 31)
2. *Evidence-based medicine glossary*. Oxford, UK: Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine; 2004. Available: www.cebm.net/glossary.asp (accessed 2006 March 31)
3. *Glossary / methodology terms*. Wellington, NZ: New Zealand Guidelines Group; 2004. Available: www.nzgg.org.nz/index.cfm?fuseaction=glossary (accessed 2006 March 31)
4. *Glossary of terms in The Cochrane Collaboration*. [Denmark: The Nordic Cochrane Centre]; 2000. Available: www.cochrane.org/resources/glossary.htm (accessed 2006 March 31)
5. *Glossary of qualitative research*. Adelaide, Australia: Audience Dialogue; 2004. Available: www.audiencedialogue.org/gloss-qual.html (accessed 2006 March 31)
6. *Glossary of qualitative research terms [draft]*. Liverpool, UK: The Qualitative Research and Health Working Group, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine; 2003. Available: www.liv.ac.uk/lstm/download/glossary.pdf (accessed 2006 March 31)
7. *Glossary of Technology Assessment Terms*. Boston, MA: Department of Veteran Affairs, Technology Assessment Program; 2004. Available: www.va.gov/vatap/glossary.htm (accessed 2006 March 31)
8. *Glossary of terms*. New York, NY: New York Academy of Medicine; [n.d.] Available: www.ebem.org/definitions.html (accessed 2006 March 31)
9. Goodman, C.S. *HTA 101: introduction to health care technology assessment*. Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine. National Information Center on Health Services Research & Health Care Technology; 2004. Available: www.nlm.nih.gov/nichsr/hta101/ta101_c1.html (accessed 2006 March 31)
10. Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: papers that summarise other papers (systematic reviews and meta-analyses). *BMJ* 1997;315(7109):672-675. Available: <http://bmj.bmjournals.com/archive/7109/7109ed2.htm> (accessed 2006 March 31)
11. *PharmacoEconomics*. Auckland, NZ: Adis International Ltd.
12. *Research methods glossary*. In: Project GOLD: Guidance Online for those Learning at a Distance. Bath, UK: University of Bath; 2000. Available: www.bath.ac.uk/e-learning/gold/glossary.html#glossary (accessed 2006 March 31)
13. Wojtczak A. *Glossary of medical education terms*. White Plains, NY: Institute for International Medical Education; 2002. Available: www.iime.org/glossary.htm (accessed 2006 March 31)



Additional sources for further information

1. Berger, M.L., Binglefors, K., Hedblom, E.C., et al., editors. *Health care cost, quality, and outcomes: ISPOR book of terms*. Lawrenceville, NJ: International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR); 2003.
2. Dodge, Y., editor. *The Oxford dictionary of statistical terms*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2003.
3. *Glossary for evaluation and results based management (presentation)*. Paris: OECD, Development Assistance Committee Working Party on Aid Evaluation; 2002. Available: www.oecd.org/dataoecd/29/21/2754804.pdf (accessed 2006 March 31)
4. Last, J.M., Spasoff, R.A., Harris, S.S., et al., editors. *A dictionary of epidemiology*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2000.
5. *Glossary*. Brussels, Belgium: European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies; 2005. Available: www.euro.who.int/observatory/glossary/toppage (accessed 2006 March 31)



Term	Definition/Description
Causal pathway	Also known as an “analytical framework,” a depiction (e.g. in a schematic) of direct and indirect linkages between interventions and outcomes. For a clinical problem, a causal pathway typically includes a patient population, one or more alternative interventions (e.g. screening, diagnosis, and/or treatment), intermediate outcomes (e.g. biological markers), and health outcomes. Causal pathways are intended to provide clarity and explicitness in defining the questions to be addressed in an assessment; they are useful in identifying pivotal linkages for which evidence may be lacking.
Causality	The relating of causes to the effects they produce. The Bradford Hill criteria for causal association are: consistency; strength; specificity; dose–response relationship; temporal relationship (exposure always precedes the outcome; it is the only essential criterion); biological plausibility; coherence; and experiment.
CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature)	Electronic database covering the literature in nursing and allied health. Years of coverage: 1982 - present.
Citation	The record of an article, book, or other report in a bibliographic database that includes summary descriptive information, e.g. authors, title, abstract, source, and indexing terms.
Clinical effectiveness (effectiveness)	The extent to which a specific intervention, procedure, regimen, or service does what it is intended to do under ordinary circumstances, rather than controlled conditions. Or more specifically, the evaluation of benefit to risk of an intervention, in a standard clinical setting, using outcomes measuring issues of importance to patients (e.g. ability to do daily activities, longer life, etc.).
Clinical (practice) guideline	A systematically developed statement to assist practitioner and patient decisions about appropriate health care for one or more specific clinical circumstances. The development of clinical practice guidelines can be considered to be a particular type of HTA; or, it can be considered to be one of the types of policymaking that is informed or supported by HTA
Clinical outcome	An outcome of major clinical importance that is defined on the basis of the disease being studied (e.g. fracture in osteoporosis, peptic ulcer healing and relapse rates).
Clinical pathway	A multidisciplinary set of daily prescriptions and outcome targets for managing the overall care of a specific type of patient, e.g. from pre-admission to post-discharge for patients receiving inpatient care. Clinical pathways often are intended to maintain or improve quality of care and decrease costs for patients in particular diagnosis-related groups.



Term	Definition/Description
Clinical prediction	A clinical prediction rule is a tool for assisting clinical decision making, which consists of variables obtained from the patient's history, physical exam, or testing that provide the probability of an outcome or suggest a diagnostic or therapeutic course of action.
Clinical significance	A conclusion that an intervention has an effect that is of practical meaning to patients and health care providers. Even though an intervention is found to have a statistically significant effect, this effect might not be clinically significant. In a trial with a large number of patients, a small difference between treatment and control groups may be statistically significant but clinically unimportant. In a trial with few patients, an important clinical difference may be observed that does not achieve statistical significance. (A larger trial may be needed to confirm that this is a statistically significant difference.)
Clinical trial	A carefully controlled and monitored research study on human subjects or patients evaluating one or more health interventions (including diagnostic methods and prophylactic interventions). Each trial is designed to answer specific scientific questions.
Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)	A database of references to controlled trials in health care compiled from the specialised registers of the Cochrane groups and other organisations, searches of MEDLINE , EMBASE and other databases.
Cochrane Database of Methodology Reviews (CDMR)	The CDMR contains two parts: <i>Cochrane Methodology Reviews</i> (complete systematic reviews of methodological studies) and Protocols for reviews that are currently in progress.
Cochrane Methodology Register (CMR)	A database of articles and books about methods for conducting systematic reviews of the effects of health care interventions. It is published in The Cochrane Library .
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)	This database includes the full text of all available Cochrane Collaboration systematic reviews, and the protocols for reviews that are currently underway. (See also The Cochrane Library)
The Cochrane Library	A collection of databases published on CD-ROM and the Internet and updated quarterly, designed to provide information and evidence to support decision making in health care. The databases are as follows: the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews , the Cochrane Database of Methodology Reviews , the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials , the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects , the Cochrane Methodology Register , the Health Technology Assessment database and the NHS Economic Evaluation database.
Cochrane Methodology Register (CMR)	A bibliography of publications which report on the methods used in the conduct of controlled trials. It is published in The Cochrane Library .



